

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Wright
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287615
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Wright
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287615
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Wright
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287615
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Meet our housing need</li> <li>4. Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets</li> <li>8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces</li> <li>9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure</li> <li>10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities</li> </ul>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Wright
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287615
<b>Title</b>	JPA 12: Beal Valley
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Objection 1 Disruption and Chaos</p> <p>This is unsound (para 35 NPPF) as it fails to "mitigate noise and air quality" (para 11.134, PfE) caused by houses. ALREADY gridlocked roads networks at least twice daily. The resultant pollution/congestion will kill; carbon neutrality unobtainable - this will INCREASE emissions in an era of Climate Catastrophe as endorsed</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Removal of site
<b>Family Name</b>	Wright
<b>Given Name</b>	Tracy
<b>Person ID</b>	1287615
<b>Title</b>	JPA 14: Broadbent Moss
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No

<p><b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b></p>	<p>No</p>
<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>Objection 2 Wildlife</p> <p>This site has be re-landscaped with contaminant waste over a 30 year period for a failed golf course.</p> <p>This resulted in a site of biological importance being poisoned and removed from the register (adjacent Royton Moss). Now to be a proposed team stop</p> <p>Additionally, This plan is not "Sound"(para. 35 NPPF) due to the close proximity of site allocations JPA 14 and JPA 12 which forces biodiversity and subsequent wildlife to amalgamate. Treating the two sites separately regarding biodiversity and wildlife, is, in itself, a failure to adhere to ( para 24 NPPF) Thus ceases to qualify as Postively Prepared ( para 35 (a). NPPF)</p> <p>The Preliminary Ecological Appraisals for JPA 14, are inadequate and flawed.</p> <p>Failing to include a myriad of protected and/or endangered species that currently inhabit</p> <p>This site allocation.</p> <p>The failure to include these species impacts further, more in depth investigation taking place, as promised in Places for Everyone's Policy JP Allocation 14 (para. 14)</p> <p>Thus, undermining (para. 13) of the same policy and Decision Making clause of NPPF (para. 43) also, Maintaining effective cooperation clause Of NPPF (para. 24)</p> <p>Building development and disruption of such a large scale on, in or around JPA 14, without much more in depth ecological and biodiversity analysis would have devastating consequences for the wildlife in both sites.</p> <p>In the Current Climate Emergency of such fragility for our planet, it"s our moral duty to protect and preserve all.</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Removal of site</p>